

Jubair, Mohammad

42

64

58

51

56

70

39

63

65

61

70

65

50

60

68

---

882

15 ÷

= 58.8

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

42

COURSE: CPSC-217 TUTORIAL # 1 SEMESTER: Winter 2015  
TA NAME: Muhammad Subair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 3
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 2
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 1
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 7
- 8) TA's strengths were:  
helpful & approachable.
- 9) TA's weaknesses were:  
Hard to understand & Quiet
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No Yes

Comments:



CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

64

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: winter 2015  
TA NAME: Jubair, Imrul

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 8
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 8
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 9
- 8) TA's strengths were: Explaining concepts and methods
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: Could be difficult to understand at times
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

Sometimes hard to understand. Overall, very good

CONFIDENTIAL

58

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: 2  
TA NAME: Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 7
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 7
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 8
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were: taught well
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: hard to understand at times
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

51

CONFIDENTIAL

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 1 SEMESTER: Winter  
TA NAME: Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 7
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 4
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 6
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 8
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 6
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10
- 8) TA's strengths were: Knowledge
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: presentation
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Comments:

56

CONFIDENTIAL

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # T01 SEMESTER: winter 2015

TA NAME: M. Imrul Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 7
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 6
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 8
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were: very knowledgable
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: sometimes I couldn't understand
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

70

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: WINTER  
TA NAME: Jahair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 10
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10
- 8) TA's strengths were:  
knowledge of material,
- 9) TA's weaknesses were:  
none
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Comments:

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

39

COURSE: CompSci 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: Winter  
TA NAME: Mohammed Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 3
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 7
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 3
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 1
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 8
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 7
- 8) TA's strengths were: knowledgeable in the subject.
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: vague and did not ~~always~~ use tutorial time effectively sometimes.
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or  No

Comments:



63

CONFIDENTIAL

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # T01 SEMESTER: Winter 2015  
TA NAME: M. IMRULJUBAIR

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 9
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 8
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 8
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were: presented topics in an organized and clear way, very knowagable
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: providing minimal feedback/~~on~~ as comments on assignments
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

65

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: W15  
TA NAME: M. IMPUL JUBAIR

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 9
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 8
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 9
- 8) TA's strengths were: very approachable, efficient with time
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: lack of enthusiasm.
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Comments:

61

CONFIDENTIAL

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

COURSE: CPSC 217

TUTORIAL # 01

SEMESTER: winter 2015

TA NAME: Ju hair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 8
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 7
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 6
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10
- 8) TA's strengths were: Explained thoroughly through examples
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: Hard to follow sometimes
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No  Yes  No

Comments:

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

70

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # T07 SEMESTER: W 2015  
TA NAME: M. Imrul Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 10
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 10
- 8) TA's strengths were: showing relevant examples
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: language barrier at times
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Comments:

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

65

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # T01 SEMESTER: W15

TA NAME: M. Imad Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 9
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 9
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were:  
*The TA provided many examples on course material & took time to make sure students understood the material*
- 9) TA's weaknesses were:  
*Sometimes stayed on a subject matter too long.*
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

*Very good TA, respectful and helpful, provided all examples and relevant info in an accessible format for students.*

CONFIDENTIAL

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

TA EVALUATION

50

COURSE: 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: W 2015  
TA NAME: IMRUL JUBAIR

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 6
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 3
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 9
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 7
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 5
- 8) TA's strengths were: knew what he was talking about
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: presenting knowledge in a comprehensible way.
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course? Yes or No

Comments:

Teaching is not his strong point.  
Knowledgeable but hard to understand.

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

600

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # 01 SEMESTER: W-2015  
TA NAME: M. Imrul Jubair

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 8
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 8
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 8
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 9
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 9
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were: Well organized tutorials.
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: Could seem unconfident at times
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments:

CONFIDENTIAL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
TA EVALUATION

68

COURSE: CPSC 217 TUTORIAL # T01 SEMESTER: W15  
TA NAME: IMZUL JUBAIR

Please rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent). Comments may be added if applicable.

- 1) What overall score would you give this TA: Rating 1...10 10
- 2) TA had adequate knowledge of the course and was well prepared for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 3) TA was clear and understandable. Rating 1...10 10
- 4) Tutorial class time was used effectively. Rating 1...10 10
- 5) TA was on time for tutorials. Rating 1...10 10
- 6) TA was approachable and helpful. Rating 1...10 10
- 7) TA provided sufficient feedback, was efficient in grading and returning assignments. Rating 1...10 8
- 8) TA's strengths were: Helpful and understandable, honest.
- 9) TA's weaknesses were: Nothing of Note.
- 10) Would you recommend this TA for further appointments for this course?  Yes or No

Comments: